

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,894	10/15/2003	Rolf Mintgen	5253-26	5954	
27799 COHEN PON'	7590 05/22/200 TANI, LIEBERMAN &		EXAM	INER	
551 FIFTH AV		CIAVAND	KRAMER,	EXAMINER KRAMER, DEVON C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3683	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, 1	NY 10176	Y 10176 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3683		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/685,894	MINTGEN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Devon C. Kramer	3683		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	(-)				
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–· action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E		•		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage		
* 0	application from the International Bureau	` ''			
3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.		
Attachma-	t(c)				
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application		
rape	1 140(3)/IVIAII Date	6) 🗀 Otner:	_		

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: Figures 2 and 3;

Species 2: Figure 4.

The species are independent or distinct because each species has distinct features and applications which would create a burdensome search for the examiner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman and Pavane on May 16, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on (571)272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3683

DK